



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/786,694	03/08/2001	Jurgen Pandel	P01 0063	5077
29177	7590 08/04/2005		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			DIEP, NHON THANH	
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613	

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/786,694	PANDEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nhon T. Diep	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	on is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-31</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 March 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	tammer. Note the attached Office	Action of joint PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/786,694 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1 and 26 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 1, lines 6 (similarly claim 26, line 6), please replace "the picture object"

with either –the first picture object—or –the second picture object--. Appropriate

correction is required.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 5/20/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to the applicant's argument that "the disclosure of Chen solely focuses on encoding the shape of one video object" (page 5, ln. 14-15) and Chen does not give any indication how to use the quantization for several video object versus the claims recite that the picture objects are coded with different quality and the quality is determined by a spatial resolution (page 6, ln. 5-9). The examiner respectfully disagrees and would like to direct the applicant's attention to column 1, ln. 62 – column 2, ln. 2, wherein a frame is broken into three different VOPs, background VOP0, the narrator VOP1 and the logo VOP2 and that, within each VOP, all image data is coded and decoded identically. Although, Chen only discloses coding with regard to one particularly VOP, it is the examiner's opinion that this implies that Chen will code other VOPs differently, otherwise, Chen would not need to separate a frame into many VOPs and further more, quality is determined at least by spatial resolution.

Application/Control Number: 09/786,694 Page 3

Art Unit: 2613

With regard to the applicant's argument that "at least one picture block being assigned to at least a part of an edge of the first picture object. This means an entire picture block is at least part of the picture object if this picture object covers at least a part of the edge of the first picture object." (page 5, In. 16-19). The examiner would like to notify that there is no limitation as "an entire picture block" recited in the claims and that the examiner is entitled to interprets the calms as broadly as reasonable. Further more, Chen shows a macroblock (macroblock = 6 picture blocks, which are 4 luminance blocks and two chrominance blocks) is identified as on the object boundary, it is reasonable to interpret that at least one of the 6 blocks of the macroblock lies inside the VOP entirely.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 16-31 (newly presented claim 31, which is similar to claims 16 and 26) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen as set forth in the previous Office Action (mailed 2/16/2005).

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/786,694 Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhon T. Diep whose telephone number is 571-272-7328. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NHON DIEP
PRIMARY EXAMINER